

TTConf

Tool for the Analysis and Configuration of TSN

Paul Pop, Voica Gavriliuț, Luxi Zhao Bahram Zarrin

Technical University of Denmark (DTU)

DTU Compute

Kongens Lyngby, Denmark

(paupo—voga—luxzha—baza)@dtu.dk

July 3rd 2018



Methods Implemented by TTConf

Topology and Routing Optimization (TRO)

- ▶ Determines a fault-tolerant TSN topology
- ▶ Presented in [2]

AVB-aware Scheduling for TSN (AaS-TSN)

- ▶ Determines the Gate Control Lists (GCLs), the schedule tables for Time-Triggered (TT) streams, such that both TT and AVB streams are schedulable
- ▶ Presented in [1]

Schedulability Analysis for AVB (SA-AVB)

- ▶ Computes the worst-case end-to-end delay for AVB streams in the presence of TT traffic
- ▶ Presented in [3]

Topology and Routing Optimization

Method:

- ▶ Metaheuristic approach based on greedy randomized adaptive search procedure (GRASP)

Input:

- ▶ Components library and sets of end systems and streams
- ▶ For each stream: source, destination(s), size, period, deadline and redundancy level

Output:

- ▶ Network topology: number of network switches and physical links and how they are interconnected
- ▶ Routes of all streams incl. their redundant copies

Assumptions:

- ▶ Based on Urgency-Based Shaper (UBS) traffic type
- ▶ Mathematical model tailored to network switches built-in end systems
- ▶ Used at design time

AVB-aware Scheduling for TSN

Method:

- ▶ Metaheuristic approaches based on GRASP; used objectives:
 - ▶ TT latency: minimization of overall latency of TT streams
 - ▶ TT queues: minimization of queues used by TT traffic
 - ▶ TT + AVB: minimization of overall tardiness of AVB streams

Input:

- ▶ Network topology
- ▶ Sset of streams
- ▶ For each stream: source, destination, route, size, period and deadline

Output:

- ▶ Number of TT queues
- ▶ Mapping of TT streams to queues
- ▶ GCLs for TT streams

Assumptions:

- ▶ All end systems and network switches must synchronize their clocks
- ▶ Unicast communication
- ▶ Can be used at both design and running time

Schedulability Analysis for AVB

Method:

- ▶ Network calculus based method

Input:

- ▶ Network topology
- ▶ Set of streams
- ▶ For each stream: source, destination(s), route, size, period, deadline, idle slopes for AVB streams or GCLs for TT streams

Output:

- ▶ Worst-case end-to-end delay for AVB streams

Assumptions:

- ▶ All end systems and network switches must synchronize their clocks
- ▶ Works for both AVB traffic types, A and B
- ▶ Works with both preemptive and non-preemptive integration modes
- ▶ Can be used at both design and running time




Realistic Use Cases

Use case	Method	No. systems No. switches	No. streams	$\delta_{t \text{ exec}}$
Automotive	TRO		27	570
	AaS-TSN	20	48	420
	SA-AVB	20	27	2
Orion1	SA-AVB	31	49	5
Orion2		15	134	5

Synthetic Use Cases

Use case	Method	No. systems No. switches	No. streams	δ_t <i>exec</i>
UC1	TRO	15 15	30	210
UC2	AaS-TSN	256 146	879	730

References

-  V. Gavriluț and P. Pop.
Scheduling in Time-Sensitive Networks (TSN) for Mixed-Criticality Industrial Applications.
In Proceedings of International Workshop on Factory Communication Systems (WFCS), pages xx–yy, 2018.
-  V. Gavriluț, B. Zarrin, P. Pop, and S. Samii.
Fault-tolerant Topology and Routing Synthesis for IEEE Time-sensitive Networking.
In Proceedings of International Conference on Real-Time Networks and Systems (RTNS).
-  L. Zhao, P. Pop, Z. Zheng, and Q. Li.
Timing analysis of AVB traffic in TSN networks using network calculus.
In Proceedings of the Real-Time and Embedded Technology and Applications Symposium (RTAS), 2018.